am

Cobalt-Mediated Diastereoselective Cross-Coupling Reactions between Cyclic Halohydrins and Arylmagnesium Reagents

Jeffrey M. Hammann, Andreas K. Steib, and Paul Knochel*

Departm[en](#page-2-0)t of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13, Haus F, 81377 Munich, Germany

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [Cyclic TBS-p](#page-2-0)rotected iodohydrins (and bromohydrins) undergo a highly diastereoselective cross-coupling with various aryland heteroarylmagnesium reagents in the presence of THF-soluble $CoCl₂·2LiCl$ and TMEDA as a ligand leading to *trans*-2-arylcyclohexanol derivatives in good yields and dr up to >99:1. A range of functional groups are tolerated in the Grignard reagent (e.g., COOR, CN, CF_3 , SF_5). The use of heterocyclic iodohydrins leads to *trans*-3,4disubstituted pyrrolidines and tetrahydrofurans.

T ransition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions are indispensable tools for the construction of C−C bonds in organic synthesis.¹ Most of these reactions are catalyzed by Pd or Ni salts; however, these metals have the disadvantage of toxicity² and/or [hi](#page-3-0)gh costs.³ In contrast, cobalt is an inexpensive and less toxic alternative for cross-coupling reactio[ns](#page-3-0). Recently, there has been much progress in Cocatalyzed coupling methods.⁴ However, despite the spectacular advances and insights into the role of Co in coupling reactions, only a few diastereosele[ct](#page-3-0)ive Co-mediated or catalyzed transformations of this type have been described.^{5,6} Previously, we have reported a diastereoselective Fe-mediated crosscoupling of cyclic iodohydrins with aryl Grig[nar](#page-3-0)d reagents leading to products of type 1.7 Although very effective with electron-poor Grignard reagents, this method displays a limited reaction scope, and electron-ric[h](#page-3-0) arylmagnesium bromides give unsatisfactory results. Additionally, cyclic bromohydrins did not react. Herein, we report a new broadly applicable cobaltmediated α -arylation of TBS-protected (TBS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl) cyclic bromo- and iodohydrins.⁸ The structural unit present in 1 is found in a range of biologically active molecules, suc[h](#page-3-0) as the NK_1 antagonists 2 and 3 (Scheme 1).⁹

In optimization studies, we have examined the arylation of 4a $(75:25 \text{ cis}/\text{trans}, X = I)$ with 4-anisylmagnesium b[ro](#page-3-0)mide $(5a)$ in the presence of various transition-metal salts (Table 1). As mentioned above, the use of $FeCl_2·2LiCl$ proved to be unsatisfactory, and the coupling of 4a with 5a furnish[ed](#page-1-0) the expected product 1a in only 18% yield (entry 1).⁷ Changing the iron salt or the ligand was not satisfactory (entries 2 and 3).¹⁰ Therefore, we examined other metallic salts. $MnCl_2·2LiCl¹¹$ and $CrCl₂¹²$ gave poor results (entries 4 and 5), in contrast [to](#page-3-0) cobalt salts. Thus, $CoCl_2·2LiCl$ $(0.85$ equiv)¹³ and [4](#page-3-0) fluorostyr[en](#page-3-0)e (0.5 equiv) used as an additive¹⁴ led to the product 1a with a dr = 99:1, but with only 44% yiel[d \(](#page-3-0)entry 6). In the absence of 4-fluorostyrene, the yield imp[ro](#page-3-0)ved to 62%. Finally, adding TMEDA as a ligand gave the best results (71% isolated yield, dr 95:5; entry 8).^{5e,15}

Scheme 1. (a) Diastereoselective α -Arylation of Alcohol Derivatives and (b) Structure of Key NK_1 Antagonists 2 and 3

Thus, the dropwise addition of various Grignard reagents to a mixture of the protected iodohydrin 4a (1.0 equiv) , CoCl₂· 2LiCl (0.85 equiv, 1 M in THF),¹⁶ and TMEDA (0.3 equiv) in THF at −50 °C led to the trans-coupling products (1a−k) in 55−91% yield and excellent dr [\(d](#page-3-0)r >95:5, Table 2).¹⁷ Both electron-poor or electron-rich arylmagnesium halides were used successfully. Furthermore, heterocyclic Grignar[d](#page-1-0) [rea](#page-3-0)gents obtained either by a directed magnesiation 18 or magnesium insertion¹⁹ led to the desired cross-coupling product in very high diastereoselectivity (up to >99:1 [d](#page-3-0)r). Thus, the magnesi[atio](#page-3-0)n of the uracil derivative 6 with TMPMgCl·LiCl (1.1 equiv, THF, 0° C, 0.5 h) led to the heterocyclic Grignard reagent 5b $(>90\% \text{ yield})$.¹⁸ Its coupling with 4a under the

Received: November 19, 2[01](#page-3-0)4 Published: December 3, 2014

Table 1. Optimization of the Conditions for the Diastereoselective Cross-Coupling of 4a with 5a

 a Determined by capillary GC analysis. Undecane $({\rm C}_{11}{\rm H}_{24})$ was used as internal standard. b Isolated yield. TMEDA = N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine.

 a Isolated yield. b Determined by capillary GC and 1 H NMR analysis.

standard conditions furnished the pyrimidine 1b in 55% yield (dr >99:1). Also, N-methyl 5-bromoindole 7 reacted with Mg and LiCl (25 °C, 1 h) to produce the corresponding Grignard reagent 5c in >90% yield.¹⁷ Coupling with 4a under our standard conditions produced the indole 1c (60% yield, dr 98:2, Scheme 2).

Extension of this coupling to the five-membered iodohydrin **4b** $(X = I)$ led to the expected α -arylated or α -heteroarylated cyclopentanol silyl ethers 8a−j in 52−80% yield (dr >97:3; Table 3). The mild conditions required for this cross-coupling allowed the presence of sensitive functional groups in the Grign[ard](#page-2-0) reagent. Thus, the treatment of the bromobenzonitrile

Scheme 2. Preparation of Heterocyclic Grignard Reagents and Their Diastereoselective Cross-Coupling with 4a

(9) with iPrMgCl·LiCl (1.1 equiv, THF, -20 °C, 0.5 h)²⁰ provides the corresponding Grignard reagent 5d (>90%), which smoothly undergoes a Co-mediated cross-coupli[ng,](#page-3-0) providing the cyclopentanol derivative 8a in 67% yield (dr >99:1). Similarly, the arylmagnesium reagent 5e (>90%) prepared from the iodobenzoate 10 by I/Mg-exchange furnished, after cross-coupling with 4b, the cyclopentanol derivative 8b in 52% yield (dr 97:3, Scheme 3).

The use of $CoCl₂·2LiCl$ allows further expansion of the reaction scope of this coupling, and the iodoh[yd](#page-2-0)rins 4a,b can be replaced advantageously by the corresponding bromohydrin $(4c, X = Br)$. Using the same reaction conditions, the crosscoupling products 11a−d were obtained with high diastereoselectivities (dr >97:3, Scheme 4).

Remarkably, this cross-coupling can also be performed with heterocyclic iodohydrins such as [12](#page-2-0) and 13, leading to trans-3,4-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans (14) and pyrrolidines (15) as single diastereomers (71−74%, Scheme 5). The up-scaling of this cross-coupling is readily performed as indicated in Table 3 (entry 7) as well as in the synthesis of [1](#page-2-0)4, which has been performed on a 4 mmol scale (gram scale).

To demonstrate the synthetic potential of this method, [we](#page-2-0) have prepared the functionalized arylated TBS-protected cyclohexanol,¹⁶ which is a key intermediate for the synthesis of the $NK₁$ antagonist 2. Thus, the commercially available ketone 17 w[as](#page-3-0) converted in four steps (37% overall yield) into the silyl-protected iodohydrin 18 (Scheme 6). Co-mediated cross-coupling with 4-fluorophenylmagnesium bromide (5f)

^a Isolated yield. ^b Determined by capillary GC and ¹H NMR analysis. Reaction performed on a 4 mmol scale.

Scheme 3. Preparation of Various Grignard Reagents and Their Diastereoselective Cross-Coupling with 4b

furnished the desired product 16 in 61% yield (dr 85:15). Although this diastereoselectivity is not perfect, it represents an

Scheme 5. Diastereoselective Cross-Coupling of the Heterocyclic Halohydrins 12 and 13

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Key Intermediate 16 from Ketone 17

improvement over the previously reported synthesis (dr $66:54.9$

Preliminary mechanistic studies have shown that ArMgX and $CoCl₂$ readily react with each other, leading to the homocoupling products quantitatively. However, under the reaction conditions (slow addition of ArMgX to a mixture of the respective halohydrin, $CoCl₂$.2LiCl, and TMEDA), the desired cross-coupling is much faster. The stereoconvergence of the reaction may be the result of a radical generated at the α position to oxygen.^{4b,5}

In conclusion, we have reported a highly stereoselective cobalt-mediated ar[ylati](#page-3-0)on of TBS-protected cyclic bromo- and iodohydrins, leading to trans- α -arylated cyclic alcohols with high diastereoselectivity (up to dr >99:1). In contrast to the corresponding iron-mediated arylation, both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating substituents can be present in the Grignard reagent. Furthermore, heterocyclic iodohydrins are also excellent substrates for this cobalt-mediated arylation. Further extension of this method as well as mechanistic studies are currently underway.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Full experimental details; $^1\mathrm{H}$, $^{13}\mathrm{C}$, and $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: paul.knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) for financial support. We also thank Rockwood Lithium GmbH for the generous gift of chemicals.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Organotransition Metal Chemistry; Hartwig, J. F., Ed.; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2010.

(2) (a) Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals; Friberg, L., Nordberg, G. F., Vouk, V. B., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1986. (b) Hughes, M. N. Compr. Coord. Chem. II 1987, 67, 643. (c) Nickel and the Skin: Absorption, Immunology, Epidemology, and Metallurgy; Hostynek, J. J., Maibach, H. I., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

(3) (a) World market prices for Pd: \$834 per pound. (b) World market prices for Co: \$14 per pound.

(4) (a) Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78, 441. (b) Gosmini, C.; Begouin, J.-M.; Moncomble, A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3221. (c) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1435. (d) Gosmini, C.; Moncomble, A. Isr. J. Chem. 2010, 50, 568.

(5) For recent cobalt-catalyzed cross-couplings and related reactions, see: (a) Cahiez, G.; Avedissian, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6159. (b) Wakabayashi, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5374. (c) Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2081. (d) Ohmiya, H.; Tsuji, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Chem.Eur. J. 2004, 10, 5640. (e) Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3093. (f) Ohmiya, H.; Wakabayashi, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 2207. (g) Someya, H.; Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1565. (h) Someya, H.; Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 8609. (i) Gosmini, C.; Bégouin, J.-M.; Moncomble, A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 28, 3221. (j) Usanov, D. L.; Yamamoto, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8169. (k) Qian, X.; Auffrant, A.; Felouat, A.; Gosmini, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10402. (l) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208. (m) Barre, B.; Gonnard, ́ L.; Campagne, R.; Reymond, S.; Marin, J.; Ciapetti, P.; Brellier, M.; Guérinot, A.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2014, DOI: 10.1021/ol503043r. (n) Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 277.

(6) For diastereoselective cobalt-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, see: (a) Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1886. (b) Nicolas, L.; Angibaud, P.; Stanfield, I.; Bonnet, P.; Meerpoel, L.; Reymond, S.; Cossy, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11101. (c) Nicolas, L.; Izquierdo, E.; Angibaud, P.; Stansfield, I.; Meerpoel, L.; Reymond, S.; Cossy, J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11807. (d) Despiau, C. F.; Dominey, A. P.; Harrowven, D. C.; Linclau, B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 4335.

(7) Steib, A. K.; Thaler, T.; Komeyama, K.; Mayer, P.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3303.

(8) For examples of α -arylations to oxygen, see: (a) Palucki, M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11108. (b) Fox, J. M.; Huang, X.; Chieffi, A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1360. (c) Ehrentraut, A.; Zapf, A.; Beller, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2002, 344, 209. (d) Cossy, J.; de Filippis, A.; Pardo, D. G. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3037. (e) Navarro, O.; Marion, N.; Onishi, Y.; Kelly, R. A., III; Nolan, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 685. (f) Su, W.; Raders, S.; Verkade, J. G.; Liao, X.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5852. (g) Dai, X.; Strotman, N. A.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3302. (h) Lundin, P. M.; Esquivias, J.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 154. (i) Lou, S.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1264. (9) Dirat, O.; Elliott, J. M.; Jelley, R. A.; Jones, A. B.; Reader, M.

Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 1295.

(10) Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4364.

(11) (a) Alami, M.; Ramiandrasoa, P.; Cahiez, G. Synlett 1998, 325.

(b) Cahiez, G.; Gager, O.; Lecomte, F. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5255.

(12) Steib, A. K.; Kuzmina, O. M.; Fernandez, S.; Flubacher, D.; Knochel, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15346.

(13) Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Duplais, C.; Giulliani, A.; Moyeux, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1484.

(14) Jensen, A. E.; Knochel, P. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 79.

(15) (a) The use of triisopropylsilyl-protected (TIPS-protected) 2 iodocyclohexanol led to a similar diastereoselectivity (dr 94:6), whereas protection with the bulky tert-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) group resulted in a decreased diastereoselectivity (dr 90:10). (b) The role of N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine (TMEDA) is to coordinate the low-valent cobalt intermediate.

(16) The use of catalytic amounts of $CoCl₂·2LiCl$ (0.40 equiv) did not lead to a satisfactory conversion of 1a (54% yield).

(17) Treatment of a mixture of $CoCl₂·2LiCl$ (0.85 equiv), TMEDA (0.3 equiv), and ArMgCl (1.7 equiv) with the protected iodohydrin (1 equiv) in THF at −50 °C did not lead to the formation of the desired product.

(18) Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2497.

(19) Piller, F. M.; Metzger, A.; Schade, M. A.; Haag, B. A.; Gavryushin, A.; Knochel, P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 7192.

(20) (a) Krasovskiy, A.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3333. (b) Krasovskiy, A.; Straub, B. F.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 159.